



# **Attribution and Provenance in AI Outputs**

**(AIWhitepaper C1)**

A Framework for Interpreting Source Alignment, Traceability,  
and Output Reliability

***AI Sourced Facts (AISF) Pte. Ltd.***

© AI Sourced Facts (AISF)

All rights reserved.

**Edition: Version 1.0**

**Publication Date: February 2026**

## Executive Summary

This whitepaper introduces a structured conceptual framework for capability verification and source attribution in artificial intelligence systems. The framework focuses on how outputs generated by AI systems may be associated with identifiable sources and how provenance can be considered as part of structured interpretation.

The approach recognises that AI-generated outputs are derived from underlying data, models, and processes that are not always directly visible. It presents a model in which outputs may be associated with source references and evaluated in the context of their origin and traceability.

The framework is conceptual and architecture-oriented. It does not describe implementation methods, system-level execution logic, or technical mechanisms for tracking provenance. It is intended to provide a structured way to understand how attribution and traceability may be considered when interpreting AI-generated outputs.

---

# 1. Purpose of This Whitepaper

This whitepaper presents a structured conceptual framework for capability verification and source attribution in artificial intelligence systems.

It is intended to:

- describe how AI outputs may be associated with identifiable sources
- outline a structured approach to considering provenance and traceability
- establish a conceptual basis for evaluating attribution in AI-generated outputs

This whitepaper does not:

- provide implementation guidance
  - define system architecture at an engineering level
  - prescribe deployment models or technical solutions
  - offer operational, regulatory, or compliance advice
- 

## 2. Scope and Boundary Statement

This document is intentionally constrained to maintain alignment with patent and institutional boundaries.

Accordingly:

- No algorithms are disclosed
- No tracking mechanisms are described
- No system flow or execution sequencing is provided
- No implementation-level architecture is defined
- No claim-level interpretation is introduced beyond high-level framing

All descriptions are conceptual and non-operational in nature.

### 3. Framework Overview

The framework describes an approach in which AI-generated outputs are considered alongside their potential sources and origins.

In this model:

- outputs are not treated in isolation
- attribution is considered as part of interpretation
- provenance becomes a relevant analytical dimension

The framework introduces structured consideration of:

- where outputs may originate
  - how they may be linked to underlying sources
  - how traceability contributes to interpretation
- 

### 4. Source Attribution

Source attribution refers to the association of AI-generated outputs with identifiable origins.

These origins may include:

- underlying datasets
- referenced materials
- inferred informational sources

The framework does not assume complete visibility of sources but introduces attribution as a structured consideration.

---

## 5. Capability Verification

Capability verification considers whether an AI system is operating within its expected functional domain.

This includes:

- assessing whether outputs are consistent with expected capability
- identifying when outputs may fall outside expected scope

Verification is conceptual and does not rely on internal system inspection.

---

## 6. Provenance Consideration

Provenance refers to the traceability of outputs to their origins.

The framework introduces provenance as:

- a factor in interpretation
- a dimension of reliability assessment
- a contextual element rather than a definitive measure

Provenance may be partial, inferred, or indirect.

---

## 7. Independence from Implementation Systems

The framework operates independently of:

- specific tracking technologies
- database systems
- logging or audit mechanisms

It does not prescribe how provenance should be implemented or maintained.

---

## 8. Capability-Oriented and Vendor-Neutral Approach

The framework is:

- capability-oriented
- vendor-neutral
- model-independent

It applies to AI systems generally, without dependence on specific platforms or architectures.

---

## 9. Limitations

The framework does not:

- guarantee full traceability
- ensure complete source visibility
- provide definitive attribution of origin

It provides a structured way to consider attribution, not a mechanism to enforce it.

---

## 10. Future Development Note

Conceptual approaches to attribution and provenance may evolve as AI systems and data ecosystems develop.

This whitepaper does not commit to implementation pathways or system deployment.

## About AI Sourced Facts (AISF) Pte. Ltd.

AISF is a Singapore-headquartered institution dedicated to structured reasoning, responsible AI navigation, and governance-informed adoption of artificial intelligence systems.

AISF operates with a capability-first, vendor-neutral posture. Its publications do not rank platforms, endorse providers, or promote specific technologies. Instead, AISF develops structured frameworks that help individuals, professionals, and institutions reason clearly before integrating AI into operational, strategic, or educational environments.

AISF's work spans whitepapers, applied insight books, education instruments, governance architectures, and structured research initiatives. These outputs are informed by cross-system AI research methodologies and reflect globally observed usage patterns at the time of publication. Human accountability remains central across all AISF frameworks.

AISF does not provide regulatory, legal, financial, investment, or compliance advice. Its publications are designed to support structured thinking, proportionate governance, and disciplined evaluation of AI capabilities prior to deployment or reliance.

As artificial intelligence systems continue to evolve, AISF's focus remains constant: clarity before integration, governance proportionate to capability, and long-term institutional resilience in the age of AI.

---

## Closing Statement

This whitepaper presents a structured conceptual approach to capability verification and source attribution in AI systems,

supporting a clearer understanding of how provenance and traceability may inform interpretation.

---